

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,577	07/15/2005	Dimcho Ivanov Dimov	GULDE-0052	9289	
23599 75	590 11/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			KIFLE, BRUCK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1624		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/510,577	DIMOV ET AL.
,	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624
Period for Reply	ours on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ARANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication.
Status	•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Jun This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the description of the d	election requirement. pted or b) objected to by the Elrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pa) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/08/04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:'	e

Abstract

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- i) Claim 1 reads "N-(3-rifamycinyl)-carbamates of the formula I". Since this term is confusing and not fully descriptive, the language "A compound of formula I" is suggested. See also claim 7. Similarly, in claims 2-6, "A compound of formula I" is suggested in place of "Carbamates of claim 1."
- ii) In claim 1, line 3, the phrase "and their corresponding hydroquinones" is not proper Markush language. The phrase "or its corresponding hydroquinone" is suggested. See also claim 7. The last line of claim 1 should also be corrected to read "or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof" because it appears that Applicants intend a pharmaceutical and in order to present the claim in proper alternative form.
- iii) The term "comprising" in claim 1 is open-ended. The phrase "selected from the group consisting of" and insertion of "and" before the last substituent is suggested. See also claims 7, 16 and 18.
- iv) The term "preferably" is improper in claims 2-5, 8 and 17 because it renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Art Unit: 1624

- v) In claim 16, Applicants should insert "pharmaceutical" to clearly set forth what kind of a composition is intended.
- vi) The intended use of a pharmaceutical composition does not have patentability weight.
- vii) A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 18 recites the broad recitation "microbial infection with ordinary (non-mycobacterial) bacteria, and the claim also recites "mycobacterial infection" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. viii) Regarding prevention of the infection, it is unclear who the subject is that needs prevention and how one can say whether the infection is prevented. Deletion of "preventing" is suggested.

Claims 10-15 provide for the use of a compound, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is

Art Unit: 1624

intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active. positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use. without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd. App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0668. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

BK

November 11, 2006